Andreiev D. – Doctod of Law, Associate Professor, Director of the Educational and Research Institute No. 3 of the National Academy of Internal Affairs, Kyiv, Ukraine

Ensuring Human Rights in the Context of Improvement the Legal Mechanisms of Communication Between Police and the Community

The article investigates the problematic issues of introduction of legal mechanisms in the process of improving communication relations between society and law enforcement agencies. Particular attention is paid to ensuring human rights in the process of establishing a communication dialogue. In addition, the article explores innovative approaches in order to ensure an effective communication process between the police and the community.

The complexity and versatility of the priority areas for the effective interaction between the police and the community allow us to formulate the main task of our study, which is to determine the strategic directions of ensuring human rights in the process of

introducing communication mechanisms, that the outcome will enhance the confidence in the activities of the National Police. In addition, it should be noted that in general these requirements are satisfied only if the developed model of the information society exists and functioning, because the totalitarian model does not require the involvement of the public in the processes of the adoption of management decisions, their further implementation and control.

Willingness for effective communication interaction as a regulatory stimulus of mutual relations in a legal state arises on the basis of a philosophical and moral approach to the law and legal consciousness. This willingness could only be realized if the rights and freedoms of the individual, which constitute the basic foundations of communication and discursive legal practice, were recognized. At the same time an individual as an autonomous and sovereign personality remains the central figure in the legal activity.

The principle of achieving human rights, in particular in the context of establishing communication mechanisms, is fundamental to reach agreement: each person, group of persons has the right to interpersonal, intergroup communication. This right can not be monopolized by another person or institution. Freedom of communication provides freedom of information, ensuring personal human rights and freedom of expression. Thus, in a state based on justice and integrity, the right to communication establishes control over the communication activities of the subjects and ensures rationalism in legal consequences. The latter determines the priority of universal, social, moral over state.

We agreed that the legal mechanisms of communication activity are characterized by the synthesis of psychological, linguistic and procedural factors of communication. Factors that affect the quality of communication, in particular the psychological preconditions for communicating depend on many components that form the transcendental conditions of communication action or discourse. The main such factor is the degree of comprehension of the primacy of human rights at the time of the implementation of legal communication, or communication intentions.

Keywords: society, human rights, police, communication principles, legal mechanisms, communication process.